

As of Friday the 13th of January 2012, a civil-plaintiff-turned-criminal-defendant matter is before the Judge Douglas P. Woodlock of the US District Court in Boston. The case – U.S. v. Jeffrey L. Clemens – is born out of disrespect for US Constitutional rights and disregard for the rule of law by errant, self-serving public servants who have intentionally prejudiced an innocent man denied access to the courts and undermined in his pursuit of the truth.

A big question arose on Friday the 13th when Mr. Clemens stood up at a hearing to object (as he was representing himself)– Why did a band of US Marshals corral, handcuff, THEN throw down and violently abuse Mr. Clemens in open court, leading to minutes of screams in pain? The US Marshals moved Mr. Clemens to a side room where screams continued for several more minutes. Needless to say, the hearing was continued.

The matter is now a criminal matter. The victim is Jeffrey L. Clemens. The perpetrators are the US Marshals, heretofore respected by **Access To The Courts**, but doubtful from here out. The conduct of US Marshals on Friday the 13th was horrific and brutal. But the “why” question remains, and **Access To The Courts** is committed to answering it.

A culture of prejudice pervades our US legal system, at both the state and federal levels. Egregious state-federal intermingling – unethical and illegal – have plagued Jeffrey L. Clemens since May 12, 2005, because Jeffrey’s pursuit of truth crossed paths with an ill-informed, dishonest, and prejudicing Sergeant Michael O’Hara of the Scituate Police Department in Scituate, Massachusetts.

We were to find that a significant portion of the legal system was to engage in a cover up of perjury and false reporting by Sergeant O’Hara, including his attorney Stephen C. Pfaff, aided by his 20 years as a lawyer and experience defending FBI agents. In turn, the misconduct of Mr. Pfaff has been covered up and/or ignored by a host of derelict public servants in the state and federal Courts, Office of Bar Counsel, Massachusetts Attorney General Office, Massachusetts State Police, and others.

Aided by his Suffolk Law School classmate - and Assistant US Attorney and former Plymouth County Assistant DA David G. Tobin (*whose DA’s office pursued 3 baseless criminal charges against Jeffrey L. Clemens stemming from a May 12, 2005 incident with Sergeant O’Hara, whose provably perjured report was forwarded to the FBI in May 2005*) - attorney Stephen C. Pfaff is portrayed as a victim of a threatening email from Jeffrey L. Clemens in ongoing litigation with the Town of Scituate.

Attorney Pfaff presented an email Ex Parte (an ethical violation) to the US District Court and minutes later informed the Court, Ex Parte again, that he had contacted the FBI. Within hours, US District Court Judge William G. Young had dismissed the Clemens suit against the Town of Scituate (for Malicious Prosecution of the Unlicensed Private Investigator and Criminal Harassment charges) AND co-defendant Stephen C. Pfaff, and had made a criminal allegation (in a show cause order) against Mr. Clemens, an impetus to a subsequent arrest by the FBI (also recipient of the O’Hara Report in May 2005) and prosecution by Pfaff’s classmate Tobin. Judge Young had given the FBI a green light to pursue Clemens.

Mr. Tobin used provably false statements and intentional prejudicing at a grand jury in order to obtain an indictment in April 2010. The Assistant US Attorney withheld transcripts of the grand jury for over 10 months. Despite Judge Woodlock's documented admission of a level of prejudice at the grand jury, the indictment was allowed to stand. The proceedings, including a joke trial that followed this series of unethical behavior, is subject of another still unfolding chapter.

Where did this journey for Jeffrey L. Clemens begin? Bellevue, Washington 1994, when Jeffrey was issued a provably false speeding ticket, was assaulted (bruised), and threatened by Bellevue police officer Dennis L. Richards. The department retaliated against Jeffrey for making a complaint, by detaining him during a discussion of the complaint and forwarding status to the FBI that Jeffrey had been arrested for Criminal Harassment. That was Jeffrey's first item in his evolving Criminal History. What followed in the years to come – questionable charges, police assaults, shady public defender deals - is incredible, yet fully and truly a reflection of the culture of prejudice amongst our police, attorneys, and courts, like that which played out on Friday the 13th.

CRIMINAL HISTORY – whatever THAT means...

Access To The Courts finds that Jeffrey has never committed a crime in his life – traffic infractions yes, crimes no, as he is a documented victim of police, attorney, and judicial misconduct through a series of extremely prejudicial acts and omissions designed to propel Jeffrey toward the label of "Career Criminal" and away from very unprofessional, unethical, and often criminal police misconduct.

- 1) **Criminal Harassment arrest** by Bellevue Police retaliating for a complaint of police misconduct.
- 2) **Police as witnesses.** All criminal allegations have come from Police as witnesses.
- 3) **No guilty pleas to any charge.** Often, the police withheld discovery and video tape evidence.
- 4) **No trials (and no guilty verdicts),** except the joke trial of May 11, 2011, where discovery, witnesses, and a competent defense counsel were withheld by Judge Woodlock, himself an involved party in the brutal melee in his courtroom on Friday the 13th of January 2012: *The Judge sat for minutes through screams by Clemens without "calling off the dogs", instead calling for more dogs (US Marshals and security personnel).* Therefore, criminal allegations have not been countered, defended against, or faced the scrutiny of (legitimate) trials.
- 5) **Traffic Stops by Police.** All criminal allegations have stemmed from Police pullovers in a vehicle, except the first two (Bellevue 1995 – never charged; Beverly Hills – exonerated –not reported!).
- 6) **Out of State License Plates.** The vehicles involved in all the traffic stops all had out of state plates, common targets of profiling by police agencies for being pulled over.
- 7) **Majority of criminal allegations made by the Massachusetts State Police (MSP).**
- 8) **Criminal Harassment threat** made by the **MSP** to the ATTC founder attempting to lodge a criminal complaint against officer O'Hara and attorney Pfaff in January 2011, months before the only trial against the accused Mr. Clemens. Neither MAGO nor MSP conducted investigations.

The big Question - do Mental Health issues explain the Criminal History, or does the legal system's own Mental Disorder – PREJUDICE – explain where we are at today? Tobin, Pfaff, Woodlock, care to answer?