

5 INCIDENTS	25 + COMPLAINTS	15 LAWSUITS
<p>First Criminal Allegation by Bellevue Police in 1995 in retaliation for a complaint of police misconduct (provably false speeding citation, assault, threat) re OCT 25, 1994 Criminal Harassment</p> <p>Followed by an escalation of PREJUDICE in 4 more Incidents: SEP 1997 Lobby of CAA in LA, CA NOV 1999 in Mono Co. CA JAN 2002 in Northampton MA MAY 2005 in Scituate MA (due to FBI arrest record) All involved Police pullovers and a total of 12 criminal allegations – most probated away NO TRIALS until 2008</p>	<p>25 UNANSWERED</p> <p>Complaints to Authorities and Overseers</p> <p>Re Police Misconduct</p> <p>Bases:</p> <p>ASSAULT (along with Threat) FALSE REPORTING PERJURY (Falsifying Facts Under Oath) FALSE ARREST FALSE CHARGING DERELICTION OF DUTY FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT MISREPRESENTATION RIGHTS VIOLATIONS</p>	<p>15 DISMISSED (1 Not Filed for fear of life and 2 settled, otherwise would have been dismissed)</p> <p>Despite:</p> <p>Incomplete Discovery</p> <p>Perversions of Law & Rules</p> <p>False & Perjured Statements</p> <p>Result:</p> <p>NO TRIALS – Police Allegations are never tested or challenged</p>
<p>2 Trials:</p> <p>(Highly Prejudiced and Rights Violating – key to “winning”), in order to cover up police misconduct)</p> <p>Hingham 2008 re Disorderly Conduct (police officer is the only witness – allows perjury; open lawsuit BEFORE Trial)*</p> <p>Boston 2011 Threatening Communications DURING lawsuit – subjective)*</p>	<p>Authorities:</p> <p>Police Department(s) City Council Mayor State Legislators Governor State Prosecuting Attorney Bar Associations Massachusetts BBO and OBC US Attorney Office FBI US Congress (no disclosure of process) DOJ OIG Massachusetts Attorney General</p>	<p>Ex Culpatory Evidence WITHHELD:</p> <p>Video recordings in CA and MA (Northampton and Boston)</p> <p>Contradictory Documents, incl. Radio Logs and 911 Tapes</p> <p>Access to Witnesses, including a 911 caller w/ no crime basis</p> <p>Police Reports, Memos, Logs, including Secret Service agent Sozio, the Kayser Memo, etc.</p>
<p>How did the prosecution win?</p> <p>PERJURY by Police INTENTIONAL PREJUDICE UNETHICAL CONDUCT WITHHOLDING Ex Culpatory Evidence ABUSE of RIGHTS EXCESSIVE FORCE (Example, the US Marshals assaults 1/13/12)</p> <p>* (2) Lawsuits dismissed based on prosecution & trial results!</p>	<p>[Attorneys:]</p> <p>Fraud Upon The Court Unethical Conduct Malicious Prosecution</p> <p>[Judges:]</p> <p>Intentional Violations of Rights Unethical Conduct (including Ex Parte Communication)</p>	<p>Blatant BIAS CONFLICTS of Interest ABUSE of Discretion Ex Parte Communication Jury Tampering (emerging) LACK of Probable Cause Failure to Correct Clear & Obvious Errors NO SHOWING of Cause in Defaults (by civil defendants) OVERARCHING of Immunity REFUSAL to Correct Fact Errors KEEPING False Charges open</p>