

January 9, 2012

Jonathan A. Clemens
412 Dockway Drive
Huron, OH 44839
(419) 433-4438

Tricia Marcy
US Probation Office
US Courthouse
1 Courthouse Way
Suite 1-200
Boston, MA 02210
(617) 748-4200

Re Third Time* is a Charm in Case 10-10124-DPW

*Third Time for the Pre-Trial Services and Probation Department

Dear Tricia Marcy,

The journey of Jeffrey L. Clemens through the federal system (of justice, easily confused or misspelled as just is, so we leave it off here), since his first visit – by invite only – in May 2005, has all the elements of a fine Shakespearean tragedy, the shallow personalities of a Hollywood movie, and the corrupted souls of a Twain novel. You are but an actor on that stage.

But, our courts are not theaters, movies, or books.

Jeffrey does not like to party, so the feds should not keep inviting him. Indeed, Jeffrey can be the hit of any party, as he brings an interesting mix of experiences, opinions, facts, and musings. But what do the inviter-fellow-partiers bring to the party? They bring prejudice, lies, subversion, deception, innuendo, intimidation, and a lot of other fashionable bling of the elite corps of public servants in the legal system.

In the days before the first federal invite, Jeanette Langlois – a dispatcher with the Scituate Police Department – after only viewing the arrest record of Jeffrey L. Clemens, and on relaying all that information to officer Michael O’Hara on May 12, 2005 – called Jeffrey a “kook”. [recorded on Radio Logs, requested in May 2005 (and many times since), but not turned over until June 2008 – after the feds, and their Pre-Trial Services and Probation Department, had had their way with Jeffrey – twice.]

Some of the biggest injustices against Jeffrey, and insults to the rest of us citizens, have been carried out by the US Pre-Trial Services and Probation Office, for they have served to protect the real “krooks” and,

dare I say, the real “kooks”. I understand that the Probation Office is a part of the Courts, which means that federal judges are responsible, especially those (including their selected defense counsel) who ignore and/or abuse due process rights and professional conduct rules. More on that later.

First Time

Not merely one instance, but more a series of acts and omissions carried out between May 2005 and January 2006 by the Pre-Trial Services (and Probation) Office. One of their first acts was to pair Jeffrey up with the self-serving, poor excuse of an attorney Jane S. Randall of Toledo, Ohio on May 26, 2005. I could go into the reason for calling her that – documented at www.accesstothecourts.org over the years, especially in the website version that was expunged by our friends in the fed in August 2008 – but suffice it to say, Ms. Randall rudely hung up on me after three minutes in early June 2005, because she had other plans for Jeffrey. She certainly did not have plans to protect his rights or serve her client. Her secretive undermining of a mental evaluation (outright avoiding one, because a mechanism had not yet been set up to influence such an evaluation) to support a release on bail ensured Jeffrey’s fate was to be determined in large part by the Los Angeles division of the US Probation (and Pre-Trial Services) Office.

The Pre-Trial Services Report produced in Los Angeles in the summer of 2005, and cited in a bail hearing of July 28, 2005, documented a trespassing charge matter in 1997 as “status unavailable”, despite the connection of the matter to years of civil litigation for false arrest, and the tie to the current prosecution of Jeffrey (in 2005), and despite the exoneration of Jeffrey for the trespassing matter (really an assault upon Jeffrey in a public lobby). An accused man presumed innocent by law sits in jail for months (and is denied bail) and the Pre-Trial Services people cannot bother to obtain the status of a related arrest matter? They sure got all the status (albeit some in error) of the subsequent prejudiced arrests!... including from the public agency that called Jeffrey a kook, an agency that found it advantageous to share a perjured police (O’Hara) report with the feds, who subsequently sought an arrest warrant with key perjured statements...the provably “false mandate” of Ingerd Sotelo, so well documented at Access To The Courts and elsewhere, as the DOJ OIG for example.

We will call this dereliction (really a deception) the First Time – labeling Jeffrey dangerous and a threat and undermining due process and other rights, giving figurative birth to an essentially gang-rape-conceived Criminal.

Second Time

The bulk of 2006 and 2007 brings up another series of acts and omission of the Probation people in Toledo, Ohio – a sad result of a forced plea arrangement (upon an extensive period of pre-trial detention) in January 2006 - a whole other matter, which would involve addressing the perjury of FBI agent Sotelo, the derelictions of three self-serving Public Defenders (Ms. Randall, Ms. Potashner, and Ms. Charlick), and the malice of the US Attorney Office at a prejudiced grand jury in June 2005.

The derelictions of the self-serving probation officer, Ruth Granberry, have been addressed at the Access To The Courts website in the past, in court pleadings, complaints, etc., but suffice it to say her (i.e, the court/probation department) objective was to ascribe a mental disorder to Jeffrey. Ruth did that by pulling Jeffrey away from constructive counseling near home (with a counselor already questioning the validity of the government's assertion of mental disorder) and putting Jeffrey close to those she could manipulate in Toledo, a ridiculously long drive to make on a regular basis, but why not, Jeffrey had nothing better to do, as Supervised Release was his life now. Jeffrey obtained his case file from Court Diagnostics, which clearly showed improper communication and influence by Ruth Granberry, as well as omissions of relevant data on which to make a forensic psychological conclusion.

Another of Ruth Granberry's fine acts (of dereliction and utter meanness) was her refusal to allow Jeffrey to go to Massachusetts to defend against three unfounded and perjury-laden charges from the Scituate Police Department, who also had a hand in the federal matter, even communicating with the Secret Service in New York and FBI in Los Angeles BEFORE Jeffrey's first invite. And the violations Ruth tried to heap on Jeffrey, done outside the rules! Sickening as she was, more sickening was Judge David A. Katz' participation.

Let's not forget that while Ruth Granberry was withholding Jeffrey from travel to Massachusetts to defend himself (in charges predating the 2005 federal matter and related to the 2010 federal matter), the Scituate Police Department was withholding public information. I can tell you, that was absolutely against the mission of the US Probation Department.

We will call this the Second Time – labeling Jeffrey Delusional. So, up to this moment (2007), Jeffrey is a danger and he is delusional. All for a man who had yet to ever be put on trial for a crime, though Jeffrey had always pushed vigorously for trials (and for the discovery in police possession – almost always withheld) for the ridiculous charges he had to face over time from the prejudice resulting from a false arrest (never charged) of Criminal Harassment lodged out of retaliation to his 1994 complaint against the police for assault, threat, and perjury. Whew! Better read that again.

I know the Probation Department knows the truth, that it had been the police that were committing crimes, the attorneys who had been covering them up, and the judges who had conveniently allowed a process for a cover-up.

Third Time (is a Charm)

This is where the Boston office of the Pre-Trial Services and Probation Office at the federal level comes into play, or rather, comes into the picture, or rather, comes into the literary realm – with their report, which unfortunately the undersigned cannot directly review. But, because of a reliable source, the dangerous delusional criminal in federal detention was able to disclose that the Boston office has labeled Jeffrey L. Clemens a "Career Criminal".

The legitimacy of the current prosecution (since March 2010 in Case 10-10124) was and is in a questionable state:

- Ex Parte Communications between victim Stephen Pfaff and the Court (Judge Young)
- The abuse of Judge Young in first accusing Jeffrey, before the FBI, of making a threat
- Provable False Statements on the part of FBI agent Boisselle, some provably intentional
- Misconduct by AUSA David Tobin (the victim Pfaff's law school classmate; Tobin is a former associate of Richard Linehan in the Plymouth County DA office; Richard Linehan was Pfaff's fellow civil defendant in the Scituate matter; Richard Linehan had vigorously yet unscrupulously pursued the Scituate charges against Jeffrey; some wise guy had the idea to separate the three charges into separate trials, so O'Hara and his counsel Pfaff can cook up and testify to any story they wanted in the disorderly conduct case, WITHOUT corroborating witnesses, which were numerous in the other two charges, which stemmed from the same matter – Jeffrey serves a six month sentence BEFORE even going on trial for an alleged Unlicensed PI and Criminal Harassment charge...)
- Derelictions by the judges in ignoring Attorney Misconduct in the current case
- Tampering of witnesses (in the Scituate matter)
- BBO/OBC derelictions, where attorney Pfaff was not even investigated despite evidence of misconduct and criminality

Victim herein and counsel for the Town of Scituate Stephen C. Pfaff was barred by rules to act as counsel for a fellow co-defendant in a lawsuit (brought by Jeffrey L. Clemens), but he did anyway. Judge Young looked the other way. Pfaff included corroborating witnesses into the same disposition, an ethical problem to say the least. Pfaff was not beyond breaking the rules and the law to protect the public servants in Scituate, and thus protect the federal crooks complicit in the affair.

The Probation people can look past (and they DID) the Delusional Disorder and come up with another label – Career Criminal, despite evidence to the contrary in errors, abuses, derelictions, falsifications, (intentional) prejudicing, and outright criminal behavior on the part of Scituate Police officers and the counsel Stephen C. Pfaff, the so-called victim herein.

Jeffrey is first a DANGER (thus, warranting no bail...wait a minute, there was no bail, so Jeffrey must be or must have been a threat, a danger!!!), then DELUSIONAL (warranting a sagging, lacking respect for the facts and law involved – by Judges Otero, Katz, and perhaps even the even keeled Woodlock), as one is more focused on the mental disorder than on whether or not the court is doing it right – what does it matter that the court is doing it right if the defendant is delusional?), and now a CAREER CRIMINAL.

Career Criminal - all those arrests prove it! All those police assaults on Jeffrey prove it! The police must have had the right reason to bash and bludgeon Jeffrey – in 1994, in 1997, and 2002. The mathematical proof for the perjury of police officer Dennis Richards in Bellevue, Washington in 1994 proves the delusion on the part of Jeffrey...because how can the police officer AND Jeffrey both be wrong, both be

right, or both be criminals? All those arrests since, made by police officers having seen Jeffrey's arrest record BEFORE making further, often times repeated, charges, say something about Jeffrey, not the police...right? Police, attorneys, clerks, probation officers, and judges...they are not prejudiced by their united, self-interest, right? Jeffrey is just another criminal, right? The label says so, so it must be true.

Congratulations

Congratulations. The world can now understand why Jeffrey L. Clemens wished attorney Stephen C. Pfaff were dead, other than the fact he has every right to feel that way – because the only apparent way for the legal system to not have corruption – a cancer of sorts – in light of a persistent and systemic lack of oversight and accountability and discipline, is to destroy the corruption. If one reports corruption, he gets criminalized - labeled and jailed, tagged and bagged – and the corrupt get away with it. Jeffrey has a decade's worth of knowledge about that reality. Wish the corruption were dead and the corruption reveals itself for the world to see, thus beginning the slow but steady process of self-destruction.

Not carrying the analogy of corruption to cancer too far, one is left only to WISH it were gone, to wish it were dead – which is not a crime. If I ever were kidnapped, like Jeffrey was at the hand of Stephen C. Pfaff, then I sure as hell would wish my captor dead. Though, I would first hope for my release and pray for his redemption. But, corruption does not work that way.

Pfaff and others of his ilk are a cancer upon our public, entrusted institution of law and they corrupt everybody else in it. I truly wish his kind were dead, as my life depends on never having to face crooks like Mr. Pfaff. Case in point is my brother's unjust incarceration, unjust mental anguish (on him AND his family), loss of reputation, and thus utter justification for wanting to see his errant captors face justice. My brother never threatened to do harm to anybody, as he never said he would DO anything that constituted physical harm or injury. But, he sure wrote about the corruption and dishonesty. He sure tried to research those who tried to hurt HIM. But, the Pre-Trial Services and Probation Office ever persisted in their restrictions of Jeffrey's access to information and access to the courts.

In this case alone, you PTS folks pushed for and received a restriction on Jeffrey's right to file a lawsuit, encoding that injustice, and irrelevancy to the subject of release and of whether or not Jeffrey is dangerous or a flight risk, in the terms of pre-trial release. Nice job. You have implicated yourselves in this corruption and you are now on The D-List, the Derelict List, albeit one of a few select ones having "charmed" us all, with the Third Time.

You ultimately work for me and my fellow citizens, not the judges. And, the judges work for me, too, only they seem to have forgotten that fact.

Sincerely,

Jonathan A. Clemens